1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	[Submitting Counsel on Signature Page]		
10	I DUTED CTATE		
11		S DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13		1 0 1 10 10010 11110	
14	IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	Case No. 19-md-02913-WHO STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING CERTAIN IN LIMINE ISSUES	
15			
16	This Degree at Poletes to		
17	This Document Relates to:		
18	Robin Bain, individually and as the legal Guardian of her minor child, B.B. v. Juul		
19	Labs, Inc., et al., Case No. 20-cv-07174-WHO		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27		CTIDUI ATION AND INDODOCEDE CONTR	
28		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	

1	WHEREAS, the parties in B.B. v. JUUL Labs, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-07174-	
2	WHO (B.B. case) met and conferred after exchanging a list of in limine motions that each party	
3	intended to file.	
4	WHEREAS, the parties agree and stipulate that certain evidence and argument will not be	
5	introduced at the trial of B.B., unless the evidence and argument described herein become relevant	
6	to rebut or explain because an opposing party opens the door to the admission of the evidence or	
7	argument. <sup>1</sup>	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	The newties against that if dyning tried the connecting side around the decents carry sylidence on anonyment evely ded	
27	<sup>1</sup> The parties agree that if during trial the opposing side opens the door to any evidence or argument excluded under this Stipulation and Order they will approach the Court before attempting to introduce evidence or	
28	argument.	

	-
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

1

## STIPULATIONS REGARDING ISSUES RAISED BY PLAINTIFF AND AGREED TO BY DEFENDANTS

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the Parties hereto, through their respective attorneys of record, that the Parties will not comment on, refer, suggest, introduce or elicit testimony, evidence, or argument (whether directly or indirectly) in the presence of the jury (at voir dire or during trial) about any of the following:

- 1. Plaintiff's expert witnesses caused or contributed to the vaping epidemic or have harmed public health, including by publicizing any of Defendants' advertising as part of their academic writings or work;
- 2. attorneys' fees; contractual arrangement of Plaintiff with her counsel, including but not limited to any reference to who is responsible for paying Plaintiff's litigation expenses or any references to contingent fee contracts; and circumstances surrounding Plaintiff's selection of counsel, including but not limited to any reference to lawyer advertisements;<sup>2</sup>
- 3. professional legal associations, legal educational seminars or any course materials or trial strategy discussions presented at such seminars, including but not limited to Mass Torts Made Perfect, the American Association for Justice, Harris-Martin, the Reptile, the Jerry Spence Trial College, or any other books seminars or educational materials utilized by attorneys.
- 4. any payment or other benefit obtained from any collateral source in connection with Plaintiff's injuries;
- 5. the financial condition of Plaintiff or any beneficiary, including but not limited to the size of homes and property;
  - 6. any state's receipts of taxes from the sale of tobacco products;
  - 7. the political affiliations/activity of Plaintiff and her family or friends;
- 8. the timing and circumstances of Plaintiff and her family receiving the Covid-19 vaccine; and,

26

27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This does not preclude any party from putting on evidence regarding Plaintiff's decision to pursue the litigation.

9. Troy Bain's invocation of the Fifth Amendment as related to his marijuana acquisition and usage.

## STIPULATIONS REGARDING ISSUES RAISED BY DEFENDANTS AND AGREED TO BY PLAINTIFFS

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED, by and between the Parties hereto, through their respective attorneys of record, that Parties will not comment on, refer, suggest, introduce or elicit testimony, evidence, or argument (whether directly or indirectly) in the presence of the jury (at voir dire or during trial) about any of the following:

- 10. the FDA "audit" including the "sanitized" influencer spreadsheet, allegedly deleted documents, and concealment of information from the FDA in the context of the audit;
- 11. other individuals' specific allegations of personal injury including specific allegations of other lawsuits in the MDL, and other lawsuits and federal or state investigations against JLI or Altria, including FTC litigation, State AG lawsuits, DOJ investigation, or private securities litigation, as well as settlement discussions, offers, agreements, or payments related to those matters;<sup>3</sup>
- 12. the prior representation of tobacco companies by counsel that have entered appearances in this case and/or their respective law firms;
  - 13. testimony or evidence regarding discovery disputes;
- 14. the specific statement in Scott Dunlap's LinkedIn profile that states "addicting a generation of teens";
  - 15. Ari Atkins' rock climbing;
- 16. Richard Mumby's personal Instagram account except references to JUUL or his work on JUUL or for Defendants;
  - 17. Plaintiff's anticipated use of any jury award;<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to use depositions from these other JUUL cases as is permitted consistent with the Federal Rules of Evidence and Civil Procedure and Plaintiff reserves the right to request the Court issue an instruction that this is a bellwether case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to argue that the jury should be informed that the use of any award would be subject to court approval and monitoring because of Plaintiff's status as a minor.

1	18.	former Commissioner Scott Gottlieb's departure from FDA was related to Altria;
2	19.	Dr. Robert Jackler's opinions about collaboration in emerging nicotine products
3	between JLI,	Altria, and PMI (see Jackler Generic Report (9/20/2021) at 430-31);
4	20.	FTC's challenge to Altria's investment in JLI; <sup>5</sup> and,
5	21.	the fact that witnesses are called/not called to testify live as opposed to via
6	deposition or remote means. <sup>6</sup>	
7	IT IS FURT	HER STIPULATED, that this Stipulation and Order:
8	22.	applies solely to the lawsuit filed by Plaintiff B.B. and shall have no effect on any
9	other lawsuit	unless a stipulation is reached by the parties in that other lawsuit;
10	23.	does not preclude good faith objections at trial; and,
11	24.	should not be construed as forfeiture or waiver or, or to otherwise, preclude appellate
12	review.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	<sup>5</sup> Plaintiff excludes from this stipulation the fact circumstances and evidence relating to the JLI/Al transaction. This Stipulation is meant to be limited only to the challenge by the FTC, not any of underlying facts that formed the basis of that challenge.	
28	<sup>6</sup> Except that 1	Plaintiff may explain that there are limits to who may be compelled to attend trial as an rethe amount of video testimony played.

Priv

28

1	Dated: March 18, 2022	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3	By: /s/ Renee D. Smith	By: /s/ Sarah R. London
4	Renee D. Smith (pro hac vice)	Sarah R. London
5	James F. Hurst (pro hac vice) KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN
6	300 N. LaSalle	275 Battery Street, Fl. 29
7	Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2310	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
8	Dry /s/ Daton A. Eannall	
9	By: /s/ Peter A. Farrell	By: /s/ Dena C. Sharp
10	David M. Bernick ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Peter A. Farrell ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )	Dena C. Sharp  GIRARD SHARP LLP
11	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	601 California St., Suite 1400
12	1301 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004	San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 981-4800
13	Telephone: (202) 389-5959	
14	By: /s/ Gregory P. Stone	By: /s/ Dean Kawamoto
15	Gregory P Stone, SBN 78329	Dean Kawamoto KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
16	Bethany W. Kristovich, SBN 241891 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	1201 Third Ave., Ste. 3200
17	350 South Grand Avenue Fiftieth Floor	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-1900
	Los Angeles, California 90071-3426	100pmono (200) 020 1300
18	Telephone: (213) 683-9100	By: /s/ Ellen Relkin
19	Attorneys for Defendant Juul Labs, Inc.	Ellen Relkin
20		WEITZ & LUXENBERG 700 Broadway
21		New York, NY 10003
22		Telephone: (212) 558-5500
23		Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Priv

1				
2	By: /s/ John C. Massaro			
3	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER			
4	LLP			
5	John C. Massaro (admitted pro hac vice) Jason A. Ross (admitted pro hac vice) 601 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.			
6	601 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington D.C. 20001			
7	Telephone: (202) 942-5000 Facsimile: (202) 942-5999			
8	Washington D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 942-5000 Facsimile: (202) 942-5999 john.massaro@arnoldporter.com Jason.ross@arnoldporter.com			
9	Attorneys for Defendants Altria Group, Inc. and Philip Morris USA Inc.			
10	and Philip Morris USA Inc.			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING CERTAIN IN LIMINE ISSUES CASE NO. 19-MD-02913-WHO

Priv